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Motivation

• SDN has reached wide academic acceptance
  • OpenFlow has been cited 4876 times so far
  • Many SDN controllers have been proposed and used

• SDN/OpenFlow research continues!
  • In hardware (ironically),
  • In management & control plane services
  • In application layer

• How do we efficiently share SDN switching hardware in a scalable and secure fashion?
OF offers a One switch-One Controller model
Thus, sharing an OpenFlow switch has been the “elephant in the room” for years
Many approaches have been tried
  - Proxie intercept
  - VLAN slicing (layer 2)
  - Port delegation
  - Controller based services

We assert the problem is lack of virtualization support in OpenFlow switching platforms
Contributions

- This work has brought NORDUnet, GEANT and Corsa Technologies together to design and implement Virtual Switch Instances (VSIs).

- This paper presents:
  - The functionality and benefits of VSIs.
  - How we integrated VSIs into the GEANT Testbeds Service (GTS).
The Problem(s):

- SDN switches do not allow multiple controllers, simultaneously.
- Different SDN applications have different requirements:
  - Forwarding requirements,
  - Switching fanout requirements, and topology
  - Protocol requirements
- This is especially true of “on-ramp” R&D environments
  - E.g. AL2S, GENI, FIRE, AL2S, GEANT Testbeds Service, ...
• Solution: Dis-associate and abstract switch attributes from the physical mapping
• -> Virtualized Switching Instances (VSIs)

• Each VSI has its own OpenFlow context
  • Separate controller, protocol version, IPaddr
  • Full network flow space, counters, etc.
  • Deterministic fabric forwarding performance
• Each VSI has its own set of Virtual Ports
  • Implications are complex
**Physical Server Platform**

- **VM Port mapping**: phyPort/VLAN > VM/vif, Pop tagging (inbound) or push tagging (outbound)

**Physical Switch Platform**

- **VSI Port mapping**: phyPort/VLAN > VSI/vport, Pop tagging (inbound) or push tagging (outbound)
**Pros:**
- Each instance has its own controller
- Except for port dimension, the user has full network flow space (no VLAN slicing is needed)

**Cons:**
- User flowspecs are **physical port** based flowspecs – the instance will break the flowspecs
- Ports cannot be split – the entire port is assigned to an instance

Breaks application [physical] flow specs
Virtual Switch Instances – The model

Virtual Switch with Virtual Circuit port mapping

Port, label -> VSI, vPort  header action
0, 100  0, 2  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 100;
0, 127  n, 0  In: pop qtag; out: push qtag 127;
0, 2386 0, 3  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 2386;
1, * 0, 1  in: no action; out: no action;
2, 100  n, 96  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 96;
2, 3140 1, 0  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 3140;
3, 25 0, 0  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 25;
3, 1870 n, 2  in: pop qtag; out: push qtag 1870;

VSIs use virtual flowspecs
Allows instances to share a physical port
Allows transport tagging to be used for VCs, and to be popped before user sees it.
Enables full network flow space. Enables migration and grooming.
Why is this so hard?

- For user virtual flow specs to work the inbound frame must be mapped to the appropriate VSI and appropriate port at line rate.
- Must be done in the “fast path” – at 100G!
- Must be a simple *FAST* operation
- Must be done for both inbound and outbound traffic
Key operation: 2-tuple swap – in the fast path

On ingress:
  - `phyPort / transTag -> VSI / vport; pop* transTag`

On egress:
  - `VSI / vport -> phyPort / transTag; push* transTag;`

Look up is \(\sim\)cost as an MPLS label swap ... Very fast

Pop & Push actions are configurable

TransTag can be outer VLAN or MPLS label
Multiple VSIs on one switch

- Multiple SDN applications controlling VFCs
- Virtual Switch Instances
- Hardware Resource Pool
- Single piece of hardware
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Hardware design challenges

• Corsa has done some impressive advanced hardware design to support VSIs:
  
  • Increased number of OpenFlow tables
    • Reduction in memory usage

  • New algorithmic lookup for flow entries
    • This allows increase in flow table size to 1 Million entries

  • Virtualization of QoS, metering and statistics
    • Specialised ASIC performs these

• We will let Corsa describe their work themselves (in another talk😊)
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The result
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VSI Benefits for providers

- **VSI**s are “well bounded” service objects
  - They can be allocated securely to arbitrary users
  - Users only see their own traffic
  - Multiple **VSI**s are hosted on a single device
  - Support full transport encapsulation
- **VSI**s can be migrated
  - Enables operational maintenance of HW
  - Enables grooming of **VSI** for HW efficiency
- **VSI** 2-tuple mapping enable port / link sharing
- **VSI**s can be applied to native transport tags
VSI Benefit for users

- VSIs are seen as dedicated OpenFlow switches
- VSIs run at line rate – even up to 100Gbps(!)
- VSI virtual ports reduced complexity for controllers/applications
- VSIs solve a major festering SDN scaling problem:
  - Inter-domain control authorization
  - Inter-domain topology visibility
- VSI are specified by users to fit their requirements
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Software integration

- VSIs have been integrated to GTS

GTS High-level overview
**Current GTS Pod locations:**
- In-service: Amsterdam, Bratislava, Ljubljana, Prague, London, Milan, Hamburg, Paris, Madrid

**Current NORDUnet GVS locations**
- In Service: Copenhagen, Geneva, WashingtonDC, Miami

**Others in the pilots:**
- HEAnet: Dublin
- CESnet: Prague, Bruno
- DFN: Nuremburg (Erlangen),

**Other interest:**
- StarLight (Chicago), CENIC (Sunnyvale), Ciena (US & CA), others in discussion...
A DSL can define every parameter of the user’s VSI

VSI {
    location="COPENHAGEN"
    switchIP="10.10.10.2"
    switchSubnetMask="255.255.255.0"
    switchDPID="0000000000000001"
    controllerIP="10.10.10.100"
    controllerPort="6633"
    port { ofport=1 id="P1" }  
    port { ofport=2 id="P2" }  
    port { id="CTRL" mode="CONTROL" }  
}
Performance of VSIs is crucial(!)
We evaluated throughput of VSIs with various packet sizes
Used:
  "Software-Defined Exchange" pipeline on the switches
  DPDK-pktgen to generate and measure received packets
Two experiments:

- **100flows**: 100 L3 flow entries matched
- **Simple**: input port-output port flow entry matched
VSIs can share the same physical ports. We used this setup to evaluate resource sharing:
Two scenarios measured:
1. No rate limiting set (equal sharing of link)
2. 3Gbit/s rate limiter set to VSI1
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• The VSI works and solves a number of SDN challenges
  • Many thanks to Corsa Technologies for their collaboration on this!

• The “VSI” is an open concept.
  • It is not proprietary, we hope other vendors will adopt it

• VSIs are being deploy[ing] now:
  • Now: NORDUnet Global Virtualization Service, GEANT Testbeds Service (GTS)
  • Future: DFN, CESnet, HEAnet, US in discussions...

• Y’all come play! Help us refine VSIs!